arXiv:1702.08351v1 [math.CO] 27 Feb 2017

Reduction and regular t-balanced Cayley maps on split metacyclic 2-groups

Haimiao Chen *
Mathematics, Beijing Technology and Business University, Beijing, China

Abstract

A regular t-balanced Cayley map (RBCM$_t$ for short) on a group Γ is an embedding of a Cayley graph on Γ into a surface with some special symmetric properties. We propose a reduction method to study RBCM$_t$'s, and as a first practice, we completely classify RBCM$_t$'s for a class of split metacyclic 2-groups.

Keywords: reduction, regular Cayley map, t-balanced, metacyclic 2-group.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 20B25.

1 Introduction

Suppose Γ is a finite group and Ω is a generating set of Γ such that the identity $1 \notin \Omega$ and $\omega^{-1} \in \Omega$ whenever $\omega \in \Omega$. The *Cayley graph* $\mathrm{Cay}(\Gamma, \Omega)$ is the graph having the vertex set Γ and the arc set $\Gamma \times \Omega$, where for $\eta \in \Gamma, \omega \in \Omega$, the arc from η to $\eta\omega$ is denoted as (η, ω).

A cyclic permutation ρ on Ω canonically induces a permutation $\hat{\rho}$ on the arc set via $(\eta, \omega) \mapsto (\eta, \rho(\omega))$, and this equips each vertex η with a "cyclic order", which means a cyclic permutation on the set of arcs emanating from η. This determines an embedding of the Cayley graph $\mathrm{Cay}(\Gamma, \Omega)$ into a unique closed oriented surface, which is characterized by the property that each connected component of the complement of the graph is a disk. This embedding is called a *Cayley map* and denoted by $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$.

An *isomorphism* between Cayley maps $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho) \to \mathcal{CM}(\Gamma', \Omega', \rho')$ is an isomorphism of the underlying graphs $\alpha : \mathrm{Cay}(\Gamma, \Omega) \to \mathrm{Cay}(\Gamma', \Omega')$ which

*Email: *chenhm@math.pku.edu.cn*

can be extended to an orientation-preserving homeomorphism between their embedding surfaces.

A Cayley map $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$ is said to be *regular* if its automorphism group acts regularly on the arc set, i.e., for any two arcs, there exists an automorphism sending one arc to the other. It was shown in [4] that a Cayley map $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$ is regular if and only if there exists a *skew-morphism* which is a bijective function $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$, and a *power function* $\pi : \Gamma \to \{1, \ldots, |\Omega|\}$, such that $\varphi|_\Omega = \rho$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ and

$$\varphi(\eta\mu) = \varphi(\eta)\varphi^{\pi(\eta)}(\mu) \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \eta, \mu \in \Gamma. \tag{1}$$

Let t be an integer with $t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{|\Omega|}$. A regular Cayley map $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$ is said to be *t-balanced* if

$$\rho(\omega^{-1}) = (\rho^t(\omega))^{-1} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \omega \in \Omega; \tag{2}$$

in particular, it is called *balanced* if $t \equiv 1 \pmod{|\Omega|}$ and *anti-balanced* if $t \equiv -1 \pmod{|\Omega|}$. Note that, it is the residue modulo $|\Omega|$, but not t itself, is of importance. From now on we assume $t > 0$, and abbreviate "regular t-balanced Cayley map" to "RBCM$_t$".

Recall some facts on RBCM$_t$ from [1] Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.1. (a) *A Cayley map $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$ is a RBCM$_1$ if and only if ρ can be extended to an automorphism of Γ.*

(b) *Suppose $t > 1$. A Cayley map $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \Omega, \rho)$ is a RBCM$_t$ if and only if $\pi(\omega) = t$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\pi(\eta) \in \{1, t\}$ for all $\eta \in \Gamma$.*

(c) *When the conditions in* (b) *are satisfied,* $\ker \pi := \{\eta \in \Gamma \colon \pi(\eta) = 1\}$ *is a subgroup of index 2, consisting of elements which are products of an even number of generators, $\varphi(\ker \pi) = \ker \pi$, and $\varphi|_{\ker \pi}$ is an automorphism.*

Let $d = |\Omega|$. By (2), there is an involution ι on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ with $\omega_i^{-1} = \omega_{\iota(i)}$ and $\iota(i+1) = \iota(i) + t$. Let $\ell = \iota(d)$, then $\iota(i) \equiv \ell + ti \pmod{d}$ for all i, and $\iota^2 = \mathrm{id}$ is equivalent to $(t+1)\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$, which together with $t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ implies $(t-1, d) \mid 2\ell$. We say that the RBCM$_t$ has *type I* or *type II* if $(t-1, d) \nmid \ell$ or $(t-1, d) \mid \ell$, respectively.

Remark 1.2. Observe that $(t-1, d) \mid \ell$ if and only if Ω contains an element of order 2, so RBCM$_t$'s of different type cannot be isomorphic. On the other hand, according to Lemma 2.4 of [6], two RBCM$_t$'s of the same type $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma_j, \Omega_j, \rho_j), j = 1, 2$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\sigma : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$ such that $\sigma(\Omega_1) = \Omega_2$ and $\sigma \circ \rho_1 = \rho_2 \circ \sigma$.

By re-indexing the ω_i's if necessary, we may assume $\ell = (t-1, d)/2$ or $\ell = (t-1, d)$ when the RBCM is of type I or II, respectively.

So far, people have completely classified RBCM$_t$'s for the following classes of groups: dihedral groups (Kwak, Kwon and Feng [6], 2006), dicyclic groups (Kwak and Oh [7], 2008), semi-dihedral groups (Oh [9], 2009), cyclic groups (Kwon [8], 2013). Recently, the author [1] gave a classification for RBCM$_t$'s on abelian groups, which is complete to some degree.

To study RBCM$_t$'s on more complicated groups, we propose a "reduction method", through which, the problem can be reduced to that about simpler groups. The following observation is a key ingredient:

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma,\Omega,\rho)$ be a d-valent RBCM$_t$, with skew-morphism φ. Suppose Ξ is a subgroup of Γ^+ which is normal in Γ and invariant under φ^+. Let $\overline{\Gamma}=\Gamma/\Xi$, let $\overline{\Omega}$ denote the image of Ω under the quotient $\Gamma\to\overline{\Gamma}$ and let $\overline{\rho}$ be the induced permutation on $\overline{\Omega}$, then $\mathcal{CM}(\overline{\Gamma},\overline{\Omega},\overline{\rho})$ is a RBCM$_t$ whose valency divides d. If $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma,\Omega,\rho)$ has type II, then so does $\mathcal{CM}(\overline{\Gamma},\overline{\Omega},\overline{\rho})$.*

Proof. The function $\overline{\varphi}:\overline{\Gamma}\to\overline{\Gamma}$, $\overline{\eta}\mapsto\overline{\varphi(\eta)}$ is well-defined, since for any $\xi\in\Xi$, we have $\varphi(\xi\eta)=\varphi(\xi)\varphi(\eta)$. Let π be the power function of $\mathcal{CM}(\Gamma,\Omega,\rho)$. It induces a function $\overline{\pi}:\overline{\Gamma}\to\{1,t\}$ in an obvious way. Then

$$\overline{\varphi}(\overline{\eta\mu})=\overline{\varphi(\eta\mu)}=\overline{\varphi(\eta)\varphi^{\pi(\eta)}(\mu)}=\overline{\varphi}(\overline{\eta})\overline{\varphi}^{\overline{\pi}(\overline{\eta})}(\overline{\mu})\qquad\text{for all}\quad\eta,\mu,$$

hence $\mathcal{CM}(\overline{\Gamma},\overline{\Omega},\overline{\rho})$ is a RBCM$_t$. Clearly its valency is a divisor of d.

The assertion concerning type follows from Remark 1.2. □

The idea is, to understand a RBCMt $\mathcal{M}$ on Γ, we find a suitable subgroup Ξ, and consider the quotient RBCM$_t$ $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ on Γ/Ξ, using known results on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ to deduce information about $\mathcal{M}$. It is expected to be applicable for wider study. In a forthcoming paper, we are going to look into RBCM$_t$'s on general metabelian groups, using the reduction method.

As a first practice, in the present paper we consider the classification problem for a class of split metacyclic 2-groups. A general *split metacyclic group* can be presented as

$$\Lambda(n,m;r)=\langle\alpha,\beta\mid\alpha^n=\beta^m=1,\ \beta\alpha\beta^{-1}=\alpha^r\rangle,\tag{3}$$

for some positive integers n,m,r with $r^m\equiv 1\pmod n$. We focus on

$$\Delta=\Lambda(2^a,2^b;1+2^c),\tag{4}$$

$$\text{with}\qquad\max\{2,a-b\}\le c\le a-3\quad\text{and}\quad b\ne c.\tag{5}$$

This seemingly strangle restriction is imposed just for technical reason; otherwise there would be many subtleties, making the paper too long.

Notation 1.4. For any positive integers s and u, let $[u]_s = 1+s+\cdots+s^{u-1}$.

For $u \neq 0$, let $\deg_2(u)$ denote the largest k with $2^k \mid u$; set $\deg_2(0) = +\infty$.

The cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is abbreviated to $\mathbb{Z}_n$.

When Γ is an abelian 2-group, $\mathrm{rk}(\Gamma)$ denotes its rank.

For $\eta \in \Gamma$ and a normal subgroup $\Xi \trianglelefteq \Gamma$, the image of η under the quotient $\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/\Xi$ is usually denoted by $\overline{\eta}$. But for $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, its image under $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ is still denoted by u.

For a RBCM$_t$ on Γ with power function π, let $\Gamma^+ = \ker \pi$, let $\mathrm{Aut}^+(\Gamma) = \{\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma) \colon \tau(\Gamma^+) = \Gamma^+\}$, and for each $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Gamma)$, denote $\tau|_{\Gamma^+}$ by τ^+.

2 Preliminary on metacyclic groups

A general element of $\Lambda = \Lambda(n, m; r)$ can be written as $\alpha^x\beta^y$. By (3) we have

$$\beta^y\alpha^x = \alpha^{xr^y}\beta^y, \tag{6}$$

$$(\alpha^{x_1}\beta^{y_1})(\alpha^{x_2}\beta^{y_2}) = \alpha^{x_1+x_2r^{y_1}}\beta^{y_1+y_2}, \tag{7}$$

$$(\alpha^x\beta^y)^u = \alpha^{x[u]_{r^y}}\beta^{yu}, \tag{8}$$

$$[\alpha^{x_1}\beta^{y_1}, \alpha^{x_2}\beta^{y_2}] = \alpha^{x_1(1-r^{y_2})-x_2(1-r^{y_1})}, \tag{9}$$

where $[\eta, \mu] = \eta\mu\eta^{-1}\mu^{-1}$. As a consequence, the commutator subgroup is generated by $\langle\alpha^{r-1}\rangle$, hence the abelianization

$$\Lambda^{\mathrm{ab}} := \Lambda/[\Lambda, \Lambda] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(r-1,n)} \times \mathbb{Z}_m. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The group $\Lambda(n, m; r)$ has three subgroups of index 2, namely, $\langle\alpha^2, \beta\rangle$, $\langle\alpha, \beta^2\rangle$ and $\langle\alpha^2, \alpha\beta\rangle$.*

Proof. Each homomorphism $\Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ factors through Λ^{ab}, and there are exactly three epimorphisms $\varpi_j : \Lambda^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(r-1,n)} \times \mathbb{Z}_m \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, j = 1, 2, 3$, which are given by

$$\varpi_1(u, v) = u, \quad \varpi_2(u, v) = v, \qquad \varpi_3(u, v) = u + v.$$

Let $\widetilde{\varpi}_j$ denote the composition of $\Lambda \to \Lambda^{\mathrm{ab}}$ followed ϖ_j. It is easy to see that $\ker\widetilde{\varpi}_1 = \langle\alpha^2, \beta\rangle$, $\ker\widetilde{\varpi}_2 = \langle\alpha, \beta^2\rangle$, $\ker\widetilde{\varpi}_3 = \langle\alpha^2, \alpha\beta\rangle$. □

The following is a specialization of Theorem 2.9 of [2]:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\tilde{c} = \deg_2(r-1) \geq 2$. Each automorphism of $\Lambda(2^{\tilde{a}}, 2^{\tilde{b}}; r)$ is given by*

$$\sigma_{x_1,y_1;x_2,y_2} : \ \alpha^u\beta^v \mapsto \alpha^{x_1[u]_{r^{y_1}}+r^{y_1u}x_2[v]_{r^{y_2}}}\beta^{y_1u+y_2v}, \quad u, v \geq 0, \tag{11}$$

for some integers x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 *with*

$$2 \nmid x_1y_2 - x_2y_1, \qquad \deg_2(y_1) \geq \tilde{b} - \tilde{c}, \qquad \deg_2(x_2) \geq \tilde{a} - \tilde{b},$$
$$y_2 \equiv \begin{cases} 1 + 2^{\tilde{a}-\tilde{c}-1}, & \text{if } \tilde{b} = \tilde{a} - \tilde{c} = \deg_2(y_1) + \tilde{c}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}-\tilde{c}}}.$$

Given $\sigma_{x_1,y_1;x_2,y_2}$ and $\sigma_{x_1',y_1';x_2',y_2'}$, the composite $\sigma_{x_1',y_1';x_2',y_2'} \circ \sigma_{x_1,y_1;x_2,y_2}$ sends α to $\alpha^{h_1}\beta^{y_1'x_1+y_2'y_1}$ and sends β to $\alpha^{h_2}\beta^{y_1'x_2+y_2'y_2}$, with

$$h_j = x_1'[x_j]_{r^{y_1'}} + r^{y_1'x_j}x_2'[y_j]_{r^{y_2'}}, \qquad j = 1, 2. \tag{12}$$

Since $2(\deg_2(y_1') + \tilde{c}) \geq \tilde{a}$, we have $r^{y_1'u} \equiv 1 + (r-1)y_1'u \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}}$ so that

$$[x_j]_{r^{y_1'}} = \sum_{i=0}^{x_j-1} r^{iy_1'} \equiv x_j + r'x_j(x_j - 1) \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}},$$
$$r^{y_1'x_j}x_2' \equiv x_2' + (r-1)y_1'x_jx_2' \equiv x_2' \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}},$$

due to $r^{y_2'} \equiv r^{y_2} \equiv r \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}}$.

Note that if $\tilde{c} \geq \tilde{b}$, then

$$h_1 \equiv x_1'(x_1 + r'y_1'x_1(x_1 - 1)) + x_2'y_1 \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}}, \tag{13}$$
$$h_2 \equiv x_1'x_2 + x_2'y_2 \pmod{2^{\tilde{a}}}. \tag{14}$$

3 Classification for a class of metacyclic 2-groups

Suppose $\mathcal{CM}(\Delta, \Omega, \rho)$ is a d-valent RBCM$_t$ with skew-morphism φ, and

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_d\}; \qquad \rho(\omega_i) = \omega_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, d. \tag{15}$$

We further assume $\ell \in \{(t-1, d), (t-1, d)/2\}$ as in Remark 1.2, so that

$$\omega_{\ell+ti} = \omega_i^{-1} \qquad \text{for all} \quad i. \tag{16}$$

Let

$$\eta_j = \omega_j\omega_{j-1}^{-1} = \omega_j\omega_{\ell+t(j-1)}. \tag{17}$$

Then

$$\omega_i\omega_d^{-1} = \eta_i \cdots \eta_1, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, d; \tag{18}$$
$$\text{in particular,} \qquad \omega_d^{-2} = \omega_\ell\omega_d^{-1} = \eta_\ell \cdots \eta_1; \tag{19}$$
$$\Delta^+ = \langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_d \rangle. \tag{20}$$

Moreover,

$$\varphi(\eta_j) = \varphi(\omega_j\omega_{\ell+t(j-1)}) = \omega_{j+1}\varphi^t(\omega_{\ell+t(j-1)}) = \omega_{j+1}\omega_{\ell+tj} = \eta_{j+1}. \tag{21}$$

3.1 Constraints

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{CM}(\Gamma, \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\tilde{d}}\}, \rho)$ is a $\tilde{d}$-valent RBCM$_t$ on an abelian 2-group Γ such that $\mathrm{rk}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{rk}(\Gamma^+) = 2$, then*

(i) *there is an isomorphism $\Gamma^+ \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k'}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ with $k' \geq k$, sending θ_1 to $(1,0)$ and θ_2 to $(-1,1)$, where $\theta_j = \mu_j - \mu_{j-1}$;*

(ii) *$\mathcal{M}$ is of type I, and $\tilde{d} = 2^{k+1} \mid t+1$;*

(iii) *ψ being the skew-morphism of $\mathcal{M}$, $(\psi^+)^2 = \mathrm{id}$.*

Proof. Cite the results of [1]; see Section 4.2, Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.7, obviously $\mathrm{rk}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{rk}(\Gamma^+) = 2$ only occurs in the last case of Section 4.2, and the conditions (i)–(iii) can be verified. □

Remark 3.2. From (i) we see that $|\theta_1| = 2^{k'}$, $|\theta_1 + \theta_2| = 2^k$, and $|\theta_1 - \theta_2| = 2^{\max\{k'-1,k\}}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For the RBCM$_t$ $\mathcal{CM}(\Delta, \Omega, \rho)$, we have*

- *it is of type I, with $\Delta^+ = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle$;*
- *$c > b$, $\deg_2(t+1) \geq b+1$;*
- *and $\varphi^+ = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1,y_2}$ for some x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 with $2 \nmid y_1$ and*

$$x_1^2 + x_2 y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{c-1}}, \qquad x_1 + y_2 \equiv y_2^2 + x_2 y_1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}.$$

Remark 3.4. Here $\varphi^+ = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1,y_2}$ means it send α^2 to $\alpha^{2x_1}\beta^{y_1}$ and sends β to $\alpha^{2x_2}\beta^{y_2}$. Similarly for other situations.

Proof. The proof is divided into three parts.

1. Assume $\Delta^+ = \langle \alpha^2, \alpha\beta \rangle$, $\eta_j = \alpha^{u_j}\beta^{v_j}$ $(j = 1, \dots, d)$, and

$$\varphi^+(\alpha^2) = (\alpha^2)^{x_1}(\alpha\beta)^{y_1}, \qquad \varphi^+(\alpha\beta) = (\alpha^2)^{x_2}(\alpha\beta)^{y_2}.$$

Since $|(\alpha^2)^{x_2}(\alpha\beta)^{y_2}| = |\varphi^+(\alpha\beta)| = |\alpha\beta|$, we have $2 \nmid y_2$; since

$$1 = \varphi^+((\alpha^2)^{2^{a-1}}) = ((\alpha^2)^{x_1}(\alpha\beta)^{y_1})^{2^{a-1}} = (\alpha^{2x_1 + [y_1]_r}\beta^{y_1})^{2^{a-1}},$$

we have $2 \mid y_1$. Hence $2 \nmid v_j$ for each j. By (19), ℓ is even.

On the other hand, one can verify that the subgroup $\Xi = \langle \alpha^{2^c}, \beta^{2^c} \rangle = \langle \alpha^{2^c}, (\alpha\beta)^{2^c} \rangle$ is normal in Δ and invariant under φ^+. By Lemma 1.3 there is an induced RBCM$_t$ on Δ/Ξ. Clearly $\mathrm{rk}(\Delta/\Xi) = \mathrm{rk}(\Delta^+/\Xi) = 2$, hence by Lemma 3.1, $4 \mid t+1$ and $\ell = (t-1, d)/2$ so that ℓ is odd. This is a contradiction.

2. Assume $\Delta^+ = \langle \alpha, \beta^2 \rangle$, $\eta_j = \alpha^{u_j}\beta^{2v_j}$ $(j = 1, \dots, d)$ and $\varphi^+ = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1,y_2}$. Since $\Delta^+ \cong \Lambda(2^a, 2^{b-1}; (1+2^c)^2)$, by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\deg_2(x_2) \geq a-b+1, \qquad \deg_2(y_1) \geq b-c-2, \\ &\deg_2(y_2-1) \geq a-c-1. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The subgroup $\Xi' = \langle \alpha^{2^c}, \beta^{2^{b-1}} \rangle$ is normal in Δ and invariant under φ^+, with $\Delta^+/\Xi' \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^c} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{b-2}}$. By Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 3.1, $4 \mid t+1$ and $\ell = (t-1, d)/2$ so that $2 \nmid \ell$.

If $2 \mid x_2$, then $u_j \equiv u_1 \pmod 2$; by (20), $2 \nmid u_1$, hence $\eta_\ell \cdots \eta_1 = \alpha^{u'}\beta^{v'}$ for some odd u', but this contradicts (19). Hence $2 \nmid x_2$, and consequently $b-1 \geq a$.

Assume $\deg_2(y_1) = b-c-2$. We have $\overline{\eta_1} = u_1\overline{\alpha} + v_1\overline{\beta^2}$ and

$$\overline{\eta_1} \pm \overline{\eta_2} = ((x_1 \pm 1)u_1 + x_2v_1)\overline{\alpha} + (y_1u_1 + (y_2 \pm 1)v_1)\overline{\beta^2}.$$

By Remark 3.2, $|\overline{\eta_1}| = 2^{b-2}$ and $|\overline{\eta_1} + \overline{\eta_2}| = 2^c$, Hence

$$\deg_2(y_1u_1 + (y_2+1)v_1) \geq b-2-c.$$

It follows from $(\overline{\varphi^+})^2 = \mathrm{id}$ that $x_2y_1 + y_2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{b-2}}$ and then $\deg_2(y_1) \geq \max\{\deg_2(y_2+1)+1, b-2\}$, so

$$b-2 \leq c + \deg_2(y_1u_1 + (y_2+1)v_1) = c + \deg_2(y_2+1) \leq c + \deg_2(y_1) - 1,$$

contradicting the assumption.

Thus $c + \deg_2(y_1) \geq b-1$, and actually $\Xi = \langle \alpha^{2^c} \rangle$ is invariant under φ^+, with $\Delta^+/\Xi \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^c} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{b-1}}$. An argument similar as above leads to $b-1 \leq c + \deg_2(y_2+1)$. Remark 3.2 also implies $\deg_2(|\overline{\eta_1} - \overline{\eta_2}|) = \max\{b-2, c\} = b-2$, hence $\deg_2(y_2-1) = 1$. Then by (22), $a \leq c+2$, contradicting our hypothesis.

3. Thus $\Delta^+ = \langle \alpha^2, \beta \rangle \cong \Lambda(2^{a-1}, 2^b; 1+2^c)$. Suppose $\eta_j = \alpha^{2u_j}\beta^{v_j}$, and $\varphi^+ = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1,y_2}$. Similarly as in part 2, we can first show $2 \nmid \ell$.

If $2 \mid y_1$, then $v_j \equiv v_1 \pmod 2$ for all j; by (20), $2 \nmid v_1$, then, since $2 \nmid \ell$, we have $\eta_\ell \cdots \eta_1 = \alpha^{2u'}\beta^{v'}$ for some odd v', contradicting (19). Hence $2 \nmid y_1$, and then by Lemma 2.2, $b \leq c + d_2(y_1) = c$.

The subgroup $\Xi = \langle \alpha^{2^c} \rangle$ is normal in Δ and invariant under φ^+. Applying Lemma 3.1 to the quotient RBCM_t on $\Delta/\Xi \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^c} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^b}$,

we obtain $\deg_2(t+1) \geq b+1$. The congruence relations follows from Lemma 3.1 (iii) and the expression for $(\overline{\varphi^+})^2$:

$$\overline{\alpha^2} \mapsto (x_1^2 + x_2y_1)\overline{\alpha^2} + y_1(x_1+y_2)\overline{\beta},$$
$$\overline{\beta} \mapsto x_2(x_1+y_2)\overline{\alpha^2} + (x_2y_1 + y_2^2)\overline{\beta}.$$

□

3.2 Normalization

Note that if $\tau = \sigma_{p_1,p_2;q_1,q_2} \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$, then

$$\tau^+(\alpha^2) = \alpha^{p_1(1+r^{q_1})}\beta^{2p_1}, \qquad \tau^+(\beta) = (\alpha^2)^{p'}\beta^{q_2},$$

with $2p' \equiv p_2 \pmod{2^a}$, so $2 \mid p_2$. We can write

$$\tau^+ = \sigma_{p_1(1+r^{q_1})/2,p_2/2;2q_1,q_2}. \tag{23}$$

Remark 3.5. Applying Lemma 2.2 to both Δ and Δ^+, we see that, given $\phi = \sigma_{z_1,z_2;w_1,w_2} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Delta^+)$, there exists $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$ with $\tau^+ = \phi$ if and only if $2 \mid w_1$ and $\deg_2(w_2 - 1) \geq a - c$.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose $s^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^e}$ with $e \geq 3$. There exists a sequence $\{\tilde{s}_k\}_{k=2}^{\infty}$ such that $\tilde{s}_k^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^{k(e-1)}}$ and $\tilde{s}_{k+1} \equiv \tilde{s}_k \pmod{2^{k(e-1)-1}}$ for each k. Consequently, for each $\tilde{e} > e$, there exists $\tilde{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tilde{s}^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^{\tilde{e}}}$ and $\tilde{s} \equiv s \pmod{2^{e-1}}$.*

Proof. We construct $\tilde{s}_k$ inductively. Write $h = s^2 + 2^e u_1$ and set $\tilde{s}_2 = s + 2^{e-1}u_1$; clearly $\tilde{s}_2^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^{2(e-1)}}$ and $\tilde{s}_2 \equiv s \pmod{2^{e-1}}$. In each step, write $h = \tilde{s}_k^2 + 2^{k(e-1)}u_k$ and set

$$\tilde{s}_{k+1} = \tilde{s}_k + 2^{k(e-1)-1}u_k,$$

then $\tilde{s}_{k+1} \equiv \tilde{s}_k \pmod{2^{k(e-1)-1}}$ and $\tilde{s}_{k+1}^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^{(k+1)(e-1)}}$, due to $2k(e-1) - 2 \geq (k+1)(e-1)$. □

Lemma 3.7. *There exists $\tau_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$ such that $(\tau_1\varphi\tau_1^{-1})^+ = \sigma_{z,0;1,w}$ with $z \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{c-2}}$, $w \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{b-1}}$ and $z + w \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}$.*

Proof. We are going to find $x_1', y_1', x_2', y_2', z, w$ satisfying the following:

$$x_1'[x_1]_{r^{y_1'}} + x_2'y_1 \equiv z[x_1']_r \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{24}$$
$$x_1'x_2 + x_2'y_2 \equiv zx_2' \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{25}$$
$$y_1'x_1 + y_2'y_1 \equiv x_1' + wy_1' \pmod{2^b}, \tag{26}$$
$$y_1'x_2 + y_2'y_2 \equiv x_2' + wy_2' \pmod{2^b}. \tag{27}$$

In view of (13), (14), these will ensure

$$\sigma_{x_1',x_2';y_1',y_2'} \circ \varphi^+ = \sigma_{z,0;1,w} \circ \sigma_{x_1',x_2';y_1',y_2'}.$$

Let $e = \min\{c+1, \deg_2(x_2)\} \geq 3$; let

$$f(x) = (x-y_2)(x-x_1) - x_2y_1 - 2^{c-1}(x-y_2)x_1(1-y_1).$$

For any $\tilde{e} > 0$, the congruence equation $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\tilde{e}}}$ is equivalent to one of the form $(x-g)^2 \equiv h \pmod{2^{\tilde{e}}}$. The equation $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^e}$ has a tautological solution $x = x_1$, hence by Lemma 3.6, there exists z with $f(z) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^a}$ and $z \equiv x_1 \pmod{2^{e-1}}$. Note that $\deg_2(z-y_2) = \deg_2(x_1-y_2) = 1$.

Take x_2' with $(z-y_2)x_2' \equiv x_2y_1 \pmod{2^{a-1}}$, then $\deg_2(x_2') \geq (a-1-b)-1 \geq a-c-1$; put $w = y_2 - x_2'$. Take $y_1' = 0, y_2' = 1$, $x_1' = y_1$. It can be verified that (24)–(27) all hold.

Now that $\deg_2(x_2') = \deg_2(x_2)-1 \geq a-c-1$, by Remark 3.5, $\sigma_{x_1',y_1';x_2',y_2'} = \tau_1^+$ for some $\tau_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$.

Let ψ denote the automorphism of $\Delta/\langle\alpha^{2^c}\rangle$ induced by $\tau_1\varphi\tau_1^{-1}$, then $(\psi^+)^2 = \mathrm{id}$, hence similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we have $z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{c-1}}$ and $z + w \equiv w^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}$, which together with $w \equiv y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ implies $z \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{c-2}}$ and $w \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{b-1}}$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$ with $\tau^+ = \sigma_{p_1,p_2;q_1,q_2}$, and suppose $z' \equiv z \equiv -1 \pmod 4$. Then $\tau^+\sigma_{z,0;1,w}(\tau^+)^{-1} = \sigma_{z',0;1,w'}$ if and only if*

$$\deg_2(p_2) \geq a-2, \qquad z' \equiv z + p_2 \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{28}$$

$$p_1 - q_2 \equiv (z-w)q_1 \pmod{2^b}, \qquad w' \equiv w \pmod{2^b}. \tag{29}$$

In particular, $\tau^+\sigma_{z,0;1,w}(\tau^+)^{-1} = \sigma_{z,0;1,w}$ if and only if

$$p_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a-1}} \qquad \textit{and} \qquad p_1 - q_2 \equiv (z-w)q_1 \pmod{2^b}.$$

Proof. By Remark 3.5, $2 \mid q_1$ and $\deg_2(q_2-1) \geq a-c$. The condition $\tau^+\sigma_{z,0;1,w} = \sigma_{z',0;1,w'}\tau^+$ is equivalent to

$$p_1[z]_{r^{q_1}} + p_2 \equiv z'[p_1]_r \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{30}$$

$$p_2w \equiv z'p_2 \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{31}$$

$$q_1z + q_2 \equiv p_1 + w'q_1 \pmod{2^b}, \tag{32}$$

$$q_2w \equiv p_2 + w'q_2 \pmod{2^b}. \tag{33}$$

Clearly (31) implies $\deg_2(p_2) \geq a-2$, then (33) implies $w' \equiv w \pmod{2^b}$ and also $p_1 - q_2 \equiv (z-w)q_1 \pmod{2^b}$. Finally, it follows from (30) that

$$\begin{aligned} &p_1 z(1+2^{c-1}(z-1)q_1) + p_2 \equiv z' p_1(1+2^{c-1}(p_1-1)) \\ \equiv &z' p_1(1+2^{c-1}(q_2 - 1 + (z-w)q_1)) \\ \equiv &z' p_1(1+2^{c-1}(z-1)q_1), \end{aligned}$$

using $\deg_2((w-1)q_1), \deg_2(q_2-1) \geq a-c$, hence $z' \equiv z + p_2$.

Conversely, it can be easily verified that (28), (29) imply (30)–(33). □

Lemma 3.9. *There exists a unique $\tau_2 \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$ such that $\tau_2^+ \sigma_{z,0;1,w} = \sigma_{z,0;1,w}\tau_2^+$ and $\tau_2\tau_1(\omega_d) = \alpha^{\tilde{u}}\beta$ with $0 < \tilde{u} < 2^{a-c}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}^+(\Delta)$ and $\tau^+ = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1,y_2}$. By Remark 3.5, $2 \mid y_1$, $\deg_2(y_2 - 1) \geq a - c$ and $\tau = \sigma_{x_1,x_2;y_1/2,y_2}$.

By Lemma 3.8, $\tau^+\sigma_{z,0;1,w} = \sigma_{z,0;1,w}\tau^+$ if and only if

$$x_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a-1}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (z-w)y_1 \equiv x_1 - y_2 \pmod{2^b}. \tag{34}$$

Suppose $\tau_1(\omega_d) = \alpha^{u_0}\beta^{v_0}$, then $\tau\tau_1(\omega_d) = \alpha^{\tilde{u}}\beta$ if and only if

$$x_1[u_0]_{r^{y_1/2}} \equiv \tilde{u} \pmod{2^a}, \tag{35}$$

$$\frac{y_1}{2}u_0 + y_2 v_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^b}; \tag{36}$$

the second congruence implies

$$[u_0]_{r^{y_1/2}} \equiv u_0 + 2^{c-1}u_0(u_0-1)\frac{y_1}{2} \equiv u_0 + 2^{c-1}(u_0-1)(1-v_0).$$

Note that (34), (36) imply

$$\tilde{u} \equiv x_1 u_0 \equiv ((z-w)y_1 + 1)u_0 \equiv 2(z-w)(1-v_0) + u_0 \pmod{2^{a-c}}. \tag{37}$$

Take the unique $\tilde{u}$ satisfying $0 < \tilde{u} < 2^{a-c}$ and (37), and take x_1 with

$$x_1(u_0 + 2^{c-1}(u_0-1)(1-v_0)) \equiv \tilde{u} \pmod{2^a}$$

so that (35) holds, then x_2, y_1, y_2 are determined by (34) and (36). □

3.3 Solving congruence equations

Notation 3.10. To simplify the writing, we abbreviate $A \equiv B \pmod{2^{a-1}}$ to $A \equiv B$ whenever there is no danger of confusion. Let $\ell' = (\ell-1)/2$, $t' = (t+1)/2$ and $r = 1 + 2^c$.

Suppose $\varphi^+ = \sigma_{z,0;1,w}$ with

$$z^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{c-1}}, \qquad z + w \equiv w^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}; \tag{38}$$

suppose $\eta_i = \alpha^{2u_i}\beta^{v_i}$ and $\omega_d = \alpha^{\tilde{u}}\beta$, with $0 < \tilde{u} < 2^{a-c}$. Then $\omega_i\omega_d^{-1} = \eta_i \cdots \eta_1 = \alpha^{2f_i}\beta^{g_i}$, so that

$$\omega_i = \alpha^{2f_i + r^{g_i}\tilde{u}}\beta^{g_i+1}, \tag{39}$$

$$\text{with} \qquad f_i = u_i + r^{v_i}u_{i-1} + \cdots + r^{v_i+\cdots+v_2}u_1, \tag{40}$$

$$g_i = v_i + \cdots + v_1, \tag{41}$$

The condition (16) is equivalent to

$$f_{\ell+ti} + r^{-(g_i+1)}f_i + \frac{1}{2}(r^{g_{\ell+ti}} + r^{-1})\tilde{u} \equiv 0, \tag{42}$$

$$g_{\ell+ti} + g_i + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}. \tag{43}$$

Due to (38), we have $\varphi^2(\beta) = \beta$, $\varphi^2(\alpha^2) = \alpha^{2s}$ with

$$s = z[z]_r \equiv z^2 + 2^{c-1}(z-1), \tag{44}$$

hence $v_{i+2} \equiv v_i \pmod{2^b}$ and $u_{i+2} \equiv su_i \pmod{2^{a-1}}$.

Note that

$$u_2 = z[u_1]_r \equiv (z - 2^{c-1}(u_1-1))u_1, \qquad v_2 = u_1 + wv_1, \tag{45}$$

hence

$$u' := u_2 + r^{u_1+v_1}u_1 \equiv (z + 1 + 2^{c-1}(u_1 + 2v_1 + 1))u_1, \tag{46}$$

$$(s-1)u' \equiv (z+1)^2(z-1)u_1 \equiv -2(z+1)^2, \tag{47}$$

$$v' := v_2 + v_1 = u_1 + (w+1)v_1. \tag{48}$$

Now (40), (41) imply

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2k} &\equiv (s^{k-1} + r^{u_1+2v_1}s^{k-2} + \cdots + r^{(k-1)(u_1+2v_1)})u' \equiv [k]_s \cdot u' \\ &\equiv ku' - k(k-1)(z+1)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2k+1} &\equiv s^k u_1 + r^{v_1}f_{2k} \equiv s^k u_1 + [k]_s \cdot u' \\ &\equiv s^k u_1 + ku' - k(k-1)(z+1)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$g_{2k} \equiv kv' \pmod{2^b}, \tag{51}$$

$$g_{2k+1} \equiv kv' + v_1 \pmod{2^b}. \tag{52}$$

Lemma 3.11. *The conditions* (42), (43) *hold if and only if*

$$\ell' v' + v_1 + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b}, \tag{53}$$

$$u_1 + \ell' u' - \ell'(\ell'-1)(z+1)^2 + (1 + 2^{c-1}(v_1 - 1))\tilde{u} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{54}$$

$$(s-1)(u'+1) - 2^{c-1}v' \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{a-1}}, \tag{55}$$

$$\deg_2(t+1) \geq a - c + 2. \tag{56}$$

Proof. When $i = 2k$, the condition (43) is

$$v_1 + (\ell' + kt)v' + kv' + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^b},$$

which is, due to $\deg_2(t+1) \geq b+1$, equivalent to (53). Conversely, if (53) is satisfied, then one can verify that (43) also holds for $i = 2k+1$.

When $i = 2k$, the condition (42) becomes

$$s^{\ell'+kt}u_1 + (\ell' + kt + k)u' - ((\ell' + kt)(\ell' + kt - 1) + k(k-1))(z+1)^2 \\ +(1 + 2^{c-1}((\ell' + kt)v' + v - 1))\tilde{u} \equiv 0; \tag{57}$$

using $s^g \equiv 1 + g(s-1)$, $\deg_2(t+1) \geq b+1$ and $4(z+1)^2 \equiv 0$, we can compute the difference (meaning the result of subtracting (57) itself from the equation obtained by replacing k by $k+1$ in (57)) to be

$$-(s-1)u_1 + (2\ell' - 2)(z+1)^2 - 2^{c-1}v'\tilde{u} \equiv 0. \tag{58}$$

Setting $k = 0$ in (57), we obtain

$$s^{\ell'}u_1 + \ell' u' - \ell'(\ell'-1)(z+1)^2 + (1 + 2^{c-1}(\ell' v' + v_1 - 1))\tilde{u} \equiv 0. \tag{59}$$

When $i = 2k+1$, the condition (42) is

$$(\ell' + t' + kt + k)u' - ((\ell' + t' + kt)(\ell' + t' + kt - 1) + k(k-1))(z+1)^2 \\ +s^k u_1 - 2^c(kv' + v_1 + 1)u_1 + (1 + 2^{c-1}((\ell' + t' + kt)v' - 1))\tilde{u} \equiv 0, \tag{60}$$

whose difference is

$$(s - 1 - 2^c v')u_1 + 2(\ell' + t' - 2)(z+1)^2 - 2^{c-1}v'\tilde{u} \equiv 0, \tag{61}$$

which is equivalent to (58), as $\deg_2(t') \geq b$. Setting $k = 0$ in (60), we obtain

$$(\ell' + t')u' - \ell'(\ell'-1)(z+1)^2 + (1 - 2^c(v_1 + 1))u_1 + (1 + 2^{c-1}(\ell' v' - 1))\tilde{u} \equiv 0,$$

which, via multiplying $1+2^c(v_1+1)$ and using (53), is equivalent to

$$u_1+(\ell'+t')u'-\ell'(\ell'-1)(z+1)^2+(1+2^{c-1}(v_1-1))\tilde{u}\equiv 0. \tag{62}$$

Substituting u into (58), (59), we obtain, respectively,

$$(s-1)u'\equiv(2^{c-1}v'-(s-1))\tilde{u},$$
$$((\ell')^2(s-1)+t')u'\equiv\ell'(2^{c-1}v'-(s-1))\tilde{u}.$$

The first is equivalent to (55), which in particular implies $\deg_2(s-1)=c-1$ so that $\deg_2(u')=\deg_2(z+1)=c-2$, and then the second is equivalent to (56). This also reduces (62) to (54). □

3.4 The result

Now that $\deg_2(z+1)=c-2$, we have $z\equiv -1+2^{c-2} \pmod{2^{c-1}}$, hence $w\equiv 1-2^{c-2} \pmod{2^b}$; just put $w=1-2^{c-2}$.

Referring to (46)–(48), the condition (53) is equivalent to

$$v_1\equiv -\frac{2+\ell' u_1}{1+\ell'(w+1)} \pmod{2^b}, \tag{63}$$

then (55) becomes

$$s-1-2(z+1)^2\equiv\frac{2^{c-1}(u_1-4)}{1+\ell'(w+1)},$$

implying

$$u_1\equiv\ell\frac{z^2-1}{2^{c-1}}-\ell'(2^{c-2}+4) \pmod{2^{a-c}}, \tag{64}$$

and then (54) implies

$$\tilde{u}\equiv\ell(2-\frac{z^2-1}{2^{c-1}})-4; \tag{65}$$

this together with the assumption that $0<\tilde{u}<2^{a-c}$ determines $\tilde{u}$, which via (54) in turn determines u_1, and finally via (63) determines v_1.

Therefore, everything is determined by $z=-1+2^{c-2}+2^{c-1}z_1$, with $0\le z_1<2^{a-c-1}$, so there are 2^{a-c-1} isomorphism classes.

References

[1] H.-M. Chen, *Quotients of polynomial rings and regular t-balanced Cayley maps on abelian groups*. To appear on European J. Combin.

[2] H.-M. Chen, Y.-S. Xiong, Z.-J. Zhu, *Automorphisms of metacyclic groups*. arxiv: 1506.02234.

[3] M.D.E. Conder, R. Jajcay, T. Tucker, *Regular Cayley maps for finite abelian groups*. J. Algebraic Combin. 25 (2007), 259-283.

[4] R. Jajcay, J. Siran, *Skew-morphisms of regular Cayley maps*. Discrete Math. 244 (2002), 167–179.

[5] B.W. King, *Presentations of metacyclic groups*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 8 (1973), 103–131.

[6] J.H. Kwak, Y.S. Kwon, R. Feng, *A classification of regular t-balanced Cayley maps on dihedral groups*. European J. Combin. 27 (2006), no. 3, 382-392.

[7] J.H. Kwak, J. Oh, *A classification of regular t-balanced Cayley maps on dicyclic groups*. European J. Combin. 29 (2008), no. 5, 1151-1159.

[8] Y.S. Kwon, *A classification of regular t-balanced Cayley maps for cyclic groups*. Discrete Math. 313 (2013), 656-664.

[9] J. Oh, *Regular t-balanced Cayley maps on semi-dihedral groups*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 99 (2009), no. 2, 480-493.

[10] Y. Wang, R. Feng, *Regular balanced Cayley maps for cyclic, dihedral and generalized quaternion groups*. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 21 (2005), no.4, 773-778.